UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

UNIT	ED STA	ATES OF AMERICA,	Case No: 15-20106-16	
V.				
Eric V	Villiams	:		
		OPDER OF DETENTION	ON DENDING TRIAL	
		ORDER OF DETENTION	DN FENDING TRIAL	
	After o	conducting a detention hearing u	nder the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §	
3142(f), I cor	nclude that these facts require the	at Defendant be detained pending trial.	
Part I	– Find	ings of Fact		
Α.		oility. This case is eligible for a Detention Hearing (18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)), ne reasons checked below in this Part I A:		
⊠(1)	Under	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), upon the involves	e government's motion in a case that	
	⊠(a)		of section 1591, or an offense listed in mum term of imprisonment of 10 years or	
	□(b)	an offense for which the maxim	um sentence is life imprisonment or death;	
		or		
	□(c)	more is prescribed in the Contro	n term of imprisonment of ten years or olled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-s Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951; or	
	□(d)	described in subparagraphs (a)	een convicted of two or more offenses through (c) of this paragraph, or two or it would have been offenses described in	

subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses;

		or		
	⊠(e)	any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:		
		□(i) a minor victim, or		
		\square (ii) the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in section 921), or		
		⊠(iii) any other dangerous weapon, or		
		□(iv) involves a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250.		
⊠(2)		nder 18 U.S.C. 3142(f)(2), upon the government's motion or the court's own otion in a case that involves		
	⊠(a)	a serious risk that such person will flee; or		
	□(b)	a serious risk that such person will obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice, or threaten, injure, or intimidate, or attempt to threaten, injure, or intimidate, a prospective witness or juror.		
В.		ebuttable Presumption. A rebuttable presumption for detention exists in is case if reasons are checked below in this Part I B.		
(1)	presu	efendant on Release Pending Trial (18 U.S.C. § 3142 (e)(2)): A rebuttable esumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure a safety of another person or the community arises when		
	□(a)	Defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), and has previously been convicted of a crime listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or comparable state or local offense; and		
		3142(1)(1), or comparable state or local offense, and		
	□(b)	The offense was committed while Defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state, or local offense; and		
	□(b)	The offense was committed while Defendant was on release pending trial		
		The offense was committed while Defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state, or local offense; and		
		The offense was committed while Defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state, or local offense; and A period of less than five years has elapsed since		

(2)	Probable Cause Findings (18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)): A rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the person as required and the safety of the community arises when there is probable cause to believe that Defendant has committed an offense			
	□(a)	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46; or		
	□(b)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (use of a deadly or dangerous weapon or device in relation to a crime of violence or drug trafficking crime), 18 U.S.C. § 956(a) (conspiracy to kill, kidnap, maim, or injure persons or damage property in a foreign country), or 18 U.S.C. § 2332b (acts of terrorism transcending national boundaries); or		
	□(c)	listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) (federal crimes of terrorism) for which the prison term is 10 or more years; or		
	□(d)	under Chapter 77 of Title 18, United States Code, for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed (i.e., 18 U.S.C. §§ 1581, 1583, 1584, 1589, and 1594)(slavery); or		
	□(e)	involving a minor victim as listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)(E).		
Part II	- Stat	tement of the Reasons for Detention		
	I find t	that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing		
establ	ishes			
	-	ar and convincing evidence that, for the reasons set forth below, there is no combination of conditions which will reasonably assure the safety of the or		
		oreponderance of the evidence that, for the reasons set forth below, there is or combination of conditions which will reasonably assure Defendant's or		
\boxtimes	both c	of the above.		

Statement of reasons for detention pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3142(i):

For all of the reasons set forth on the record in open court, inter alia: (1) This Court's finding, by a preponderance of the evidence, that no condition or combination of conditions can reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, in light of: his failure to appear in federal court for his initial appearance, as scheduled, on August 28, 2015, after initially turning himself in to pretrial services earlier that day and while being fully aware that there was a federal warrant out for his arrest; his intentional failure to appear for a preliminary examination in connection with charges pending against him in state district court on July 29, 2015; evidence of his violation of his Pennsylvania parole conditions by traveling to an amusement park in Ohio; evidence that he committed the crimes alleged in the indictment while on supervised release from Pennsylvania: Defendant's lack of employment; outstanding warrants for the defendant's arrest; Defendant's history of committing crimes outside this state, particularly in Pennsylvania; and, Defendant's recent flight from a movie theater when he encountered an off-duty law enforcement officer who is the co-case agent in this matter; and (2) This Court's finding, by clear and convincing evidence, that no condition or combination of conditions can reasonably assure the safety of persons in the community, in light of: the Government's proffered evidence of the violent, deliberate, premeditated, collaborative, and undeterred nature of the multistate crime for which Defendant has been indicted; Defendant's prior history of aiming a loaded, cocked weapon at a police officer; Defendant's prior criminal history; and, the likelihood that Defendant will continue to commit crimes of this nature and/or those involving narcotics and that he will fail to appear for court proceedings, thus endangering store owners and their security guards and customers, law enforcement officers, including officers from United States Marshals Service, the community at large, and possibly be involved in violence related to the illegal drug trade.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

Defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. Defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of a United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver Defendant to the United States Marshal for a court appearance.

Review of this Order is governed by 18 U.S.C. § 3145 and E.D. Mich. L.R. 57.2.

Date: August 3, 2015 /S ANTHONY P. PATTI

Anthony P. Patti United States Magistrate Judge